

International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences

Volume 6 Number 11 November, 2014
ISSN 2009-9723



*Academic
Journals*

ABOUT IJMMS

The **International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences** is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

The **International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences (IJMMS)** provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of Medicine and Medical Sciences such as:

Clinical Medicine: Internal Medicine, Surgery, Clinical Cancer Research, Clinical Pharmacology, Dermatology, Gynaecology, Paediatrics, Neurology, Psychiatry, Otorhinolaryngology, Ophthalmology, Dentistry, Tropical Medicine, Biomedical Engineering, Clinical Cardiovascular Research, Clinical Endocrinology, Clinical Pathophysiology, Clinical Immunology and Immunopathology, Clinical Nutritional Research, Geriatrics and Sport Medicine

Basic Medical Sciences: Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, Cellular Biology, Cytology, Genetics, Embryology, Developmental Biology, Radiobiology, Experimental Microbiology, Biophysics, Structural Research, Neurophysiology and Brain Research, Cardiovascular Research, Endocrinology, Physiology, Medical Microbiology

Experimental Medicine: Experimental Cancer Research, Pathophysiology, Immunology, Immunopathology, Nutritional Research, Vitaminology and Etiology

Preventive Medicine: Congenital Disorders, Mental Disorders, Psychosomatic Diseases, Addictive Diseases, Accidents, Cancer, Cardiovascular Diseases, Metabolic Disorders, Infectious Diseases, Diseases of Bones and Joints, Oral Preventive Medicine, Respiratory Diseases, Methods of Epidemiology and Other Preventive Medicine

Social Medicine: Group Medicine, Social Paediatrics, Medico-Social Problems of the Youth, Medico-Social Problems of the Elderly, Rehabilitation, Human Ecology, Environmental Toxicology, Dietetics, Occupational Medicine, Pharmacology, Ergonomy, Health Education, Public Health and Health Services and Medical Statistics The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published approximately one month after acceptance. All articles published in IJMMS are peer-reviewed.

Submission of Manuscript

Submit manuscripts as e-mail attachment to the Editorial Office at: ijmms@academicjournals.org. A manuscript number will be mailed to the corresponding author.

The International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences will only accept manuscripts submitted as e-mail attachments.

Please read the **Instructions for Authors** before submitting your manuscript. The manuscript files should be given the last name of the first author.

Editors

Dr. J. Ibekwe

*Acting Editor-in-chief,
International Journal of Medicine and Medical
Sciences Academic Journals
E-mail: ijmms.journals@gmail.com
<http://www.academicjournals.org/ijmms>*

Afrozul Haq

*Editor, Laboratory Medicine
Department of Laboratory Medicine
Sheikh Khalifa Medical City
P.O. Box 51900, ABU DHABI
United Arab Emirates*

Editorial Board

Chandrashekhar T. Sreeramareddy

*Department of Community Medicine,
P O Box No 155, Deep Heights
Manipal College of Medical Sciences,
Pokhara,
Nepal*

Sisira Hemananda Siribaddana

*259, Temple Road, Thalapathpitiya,
Nugegoda, 10250
Sri Lanka*

Dr. santi M. Mandal

*Internal Medicine
UTMB, Galveston, TX,
USA*

Konstantinos Tziomalos

*Department of Clinical Biochemistry
(Vascular Prevention Clinic),
Royal Free Hospital Campus,
University College Medical School, University College
London, London,
United Kingdom*

Cyril Chukwudi Dim

*Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology
University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital (UNTH)
P.M.B. 01129, Enugu. 400001,
Nigeria*

Mojtaba Salouti

*School of Medical and Basic Sciences,
Islamic Azad University- Zanjan,
Iran*

Imtiaz Ahmed Wani

*Srinagar Kashmir, 190009,
India*

Professor Viroj Wiwanitkit

*Wiwanitkit House, Bangkhae,
Bangkok
Thailand 10160*

Dr. Srinivas Koduru

*Dept of Clinical Sciences
Collage of Health Sciences
University of Kentucky
Lexington USA*

Weiping Zhang

*Department of Oral Biology
Indiana University School of Dentistry
1121 West Michigan Street, DS 271
Indianapolis, IN 46202
USA*

Lisheng XU

*Ho Sin Hang Engineering Building
Department of Electronic Engineering
The Chinese University of Hong Kong
Shatin, N.T. Hong Kong,
China*

Dr. Mustafa Sahin

*Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism
Baskent University,
Ankara,
Turkey*

Dr. Harshdeep Joshi

*Maharishi Markandeshwar
Institute of Medical Sciences and Research
Ambala, (Haryana).
India.*

Instructions for Author

Electronic submission of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

The **cover letter** should include the corresponding author's full address and telephone/fax numbers and should be in an e-mail message sent to the Editor, with the file, whose name should begin with the first author's surname, as an attachment.

Article Types

Three types of manuscripts may be submitted:

Regular articles: These should describe new and carefully confirmed findings, and experimental procedures should be given in sufficient detail for others to verify the work. The length of a full paper should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

Short Communications: A Short Communication is suitable for recording the results of complete small investigations or giving details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques or apparatus. The style of main sections need not conform to that of full-length papers. Short communications are 2 to 4 printed pages (about 6 to 12 manuscript pages) in length.

Reviews: Submissions of reviews and perspectives covering topics of current interest are welcome and encouraged. Reviews should be concise and no longer than 4-6 printed pages (about 12 to 18 manuscript pages). Reviews are also peer-reviewed.

Review Process

All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Authors cannot nominate reviewers. Only reviewers randomly selected from our database with specialization in the subject area will be contacted to evaluate the manuscripts. The process will be blind review.

Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the journal strives to return reviewers' comments to authors as fast as possible. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the IJMMS to publish manuscripts within weeks after submission.

Regular articles

All portions of the manuscript must be typed **double-spaced** and all pages numbered starting from the title page.

The **Title** should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

The **Abstract** should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 **key words** that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml). Standard abbreviations (such as ATP and DNA) need not be defined.

The **Introduction** should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

Materials and methods should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail.

Results should be presented with clarity and precision.

The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the Results but should be put into the Discussion section.

The **Discussion** should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

The **Acknowledgments** of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

Tables should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed double-spaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph form or repeated in the text.

Figure legends should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or Powerpoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Tables should be prepared in Microsoft Word. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

References: In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's name should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.

Examples:

Nishimura (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 2001), (Chege, 1998; Stein, 1987a,b; Tijani, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001)

References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order. Articles in preparation or articles submitted for publication, unpublished observations, personal communications, etc. should not be included in the reference list but should only be mentioned in the article text (e.g., A. Kingori, University of Nairobi, Kenya, personal communication). Journal names are abbreviated according to Chemical Abstracts. Authors are fully responsible for the accuracy of the references.

Examples:

Giesielski SD, Seed TR, Ortiz JC, Melts J (2001). Intestinal parasites among North Carolina migrant farm workers. *Am. J. Public Health.* 82: 1258-1262

Stoy N, Mackay GM, Forrest CM, Christofides J, Egerton M, Stone TW, Darlington LG (2005). Tryptophan metabolism and oxidative stress in patients with Huntington's disease. *N. J. Neurochem.* 93: 611-623.

Mussel RL, De Sa Silva E, Costa AM, Mandarim-De-Lacerda CA (2003). Mast cells in tissue response to dentistry materials: an adhesive resin, a calcium hydroxide and a glass ionomer cement. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 7:171-178.

Booth M, Bundy DA, Albonico P, Chwaya M, Alawi K (1998). Associations among multiple geohelminth infections in school children from Pemba Island. *Parasitol.* 116: 85-93.0.

Fransiscus RG, Long JC (1991). Variation in human nasal height and breath, *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 85(4):419-427.

Stanislawski L, Lefeuvre M, Bourd K, Soheili-Majd E, Goldberg M, Perianin A (2003). TEGDMA-induced toxicity in human fibroblasts is associated with early and drastic glutathione depletion with subsequent production of oxygen reactive species. *J. Biomed. Res.* 66:476-82.

Case Studies

Case Studies include original case reports that will deepen the understanding of general medical knowledge

The **Title** should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

The **Abstract** should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 **key words** that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml).

The **Introduction** should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

The presentation of the case study should include the important information regarding the case. This must include the medical history, demographics, symptoms, tests etc. Kindly note that all information that will lead to the identification of the particular patient(s) must be excluded

The conclusion should highlight the contribution of the study and its relevance in general medical knowledge

The **Acknowledgments** of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

References: Same as in regular articles

Short Communications

Short Communications are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

Proofs and Reprints: Electronic proofs will be sent (e-mail attachment) to the corresponding author as a PDF file. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage. Because IJMMS will be published freely online to attract a wide audience, authors will have free electronic access to the full text (in both HTML and PDF) of the article. Authors can freely download the PDF file from which they can print unlimited copies of their articles.

Copyright: Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the Manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

ARTICLES

- Vaginal birth or repeat caesarean section: women's preferred mode of delivery after a primary caesarean section in Maiduguri, Nigeria** **230**
Babagana Bako*, Ado Danazumi Geidam, Ibrahim Mohammed Sanusi, Abdulkarim Garba Mairiga and Bilkisu Isa
- Rhabdomyosarcoma followed six episodes of squamous cell carcinoma in a Nigerian albino: A case report** **236**
Wilson I. B. Onuigbo, James C. Nwozo and Richard E. Nnabuko

Full Length Research Paper

Vaginal birth or repeat caesarean section: women's preferred mode of delivery after a primary caesarean section in Maiduguri, Nigeria

Babagana Bako*, Ado Danazumi Geidam, Ibrahim Mohammed Sanusi,
Abdulkarim Garba Mairiga and Bilkisu Isa

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, P. M. B 1414, Maiduguri, Borno State, Nigeria.

Received 6 June, 2014; Accepted 25 November, 2014

Vaginal birth after caesarean section (VBAC) is an acceptable option for delivery in a woman with one prior lower segment caesarean section (CS) and in the absence of an obvious contraindication to vaginal delivery. This was a cross sectional study that sought to explore the preferred mode of delivery (VBAC or CS) in a cohort of post operative patients that had a primary CS and the reason for such preference using a self administered, structured and pretested questionnaire. The study was conducted between 1st January, 2013 and 30th November, 2013 and consenting women were recruited consecutively. Two hundred and forty five consenting women completed the questionnaires. Majority of the women, 73.5% (180) prefer VBAC in their next pregnancy while 26.5% (65) prefer a repeat CS. The commonest reasons for preference for VBAC were faster recovery, 68.8% (124) and being a natural method of child birth 25.6% (46). The most common reasons for preferring CS were to avoid labour pains, avoid the stress of labour and safety of the baby in 49.5% (32), 24.6% (16) and 15.4% (10), respectively. Maternal age ≥ 35 years and having attained tertiary level of education maintained statistically significant relationship with preference for CS after controlling for confounding variable. Only 6.1% (15) of the women reported that they will not accept CS under any circumstance and 31% (76) will accept it reluctantly. We advocate the need for counselling of antenatal women as well as public education campaigns so that women can make informed choices.

Key words: Vaginal birth after caesarean section (VBAC), caesarean section (CS), anaesthesia, aversion, labour.

INTRODUCTION

Caesarean section (CS) rates have risen globally and repeat elective CS is one of the main reasons for the rise in Maiduguri, Nigeria (Geidam et al., 2009). In an effort to reduce the rising CS rate, various regulating bodies

(ACOG, 2010; NIH, 2010), have suggested a trial of labour after CS to attempt a vaginal birth as an acceptable option for a woman who has undergone one prior CS with a lower segment transverse uterine incision

*Corresponding author. E-mail: babaganabako@yahoo.com.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

and in the absence of an obvious contraindication to vaginal delivery. It is estimated that 60 to 80% of women who are considered candidates for a trial of labour after CS will have a vaginal delivery (Mozurkewich and Hutton, 2000).

It has been shown that women who have had a prior vaginal birth in addition to one prior CS are more likely to have a vaginal birth after caesarean section (VBAC) compared with women without a prior vaginal delivery (Olagbuji et al., 2010), and for the subset of women with prior vaginal birth as well as a CS, a trial of labour as opposed to an elective repeat caesarean delivery is associated with a decreased rate of major maternal morbidities, postpartum fever and need for blood transfusions (Cahill et al., 2006). The chances of a VBAC are also increased when labour starts spontaneously and shows normal progress regarding cervical effacement and dilatation (Omole-Ohonsi et al., 2007). One prior CS, that was performed early in labour and for a none recurrent indication is another factor that favours VBAC (Cunningham and Wells, 2013). In cases where the trial of labour fails, delivery will be accomplished by emergency CS with its attendant risk.

Research has suggested that women who experience a trial of labour followed by an emergency CS may have their expectations quashed and the inability to be delivered vaginally could have serious emotional and psychological trauma that might hinder adjustment to motherhood (Chigbu et al., 2007a; Fenwick et al., 2003). In addition, failed VBAC is associated with a higher incidence of chorioamnionitis, postpartum hemorrhage, blood transfusion, uterine rupture, and hysterectomy (Oboro et al., 2010). A recent study in a tertiary hospital showed that a failed vaginal delivery among women with previous CS is associated with adverse neonatal outcomes with potential developmental risks (Olusanya and Solanke, 2009). This could also increase the risk of litigation to the obstetrician and even more when the condition is somewhat predictable (Oboro et al., 2010; Omole-Ohonsi, 2011).

While trial of labour is generally advocated for the aforementioned reasons, caesarean delivery is also increasingly been seen as a viable option to vaginal delivery even in the absence of medical or obstetrics contraindication to vaginal birth (Pakenham et al., 2006). It could be speculated that this may not be unconnected to the recent trends in patient centred maternity care and greater attention being paid to the women's views. Studies have shown that women's satisfaction with their experience of childbirth is related to their degree of involvement in decisions regarding delivery and that lack of involvement in the decision-making process is associated with an increased risk of litigation (Chong and Mongelli, 2003). Equally important in the decision making is the woman's previous experience of childbirth and a negative birth experience may affect future childbearing. About 20 to 60% of all pregnant women experience fear

of childbirth to some degree (Rouhe et al., 2009; Okonkwo et al., 2012). Approximately 20% of women who have given birth suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder (Modarres et al., 2012; Ayers et al., 2006), which can create fear of future childbirths.

Previously, aversion to CS was the norm in our environment in Nigeria because of the associated mortality (Ozumba and Anya, 2002). Furthermore, most women perceived vaginal delivery as a fulfilment of womanhood with them often take pride from having a vaginal birth (Aziken et al., 2007). However, with increasing safety of CS even in the developing world, the aforementioned view might have changed with some evidence suggesting that some women may even request caesarean delivery (Okonkwo et al., 2012; Chigbu et al., 2007b; Chigbu and Ezenyeaku, 2008). Also a study amongst antenatal attendees in Nigeria showed that 81.2% of the women interviewed would accept caesarean delivery if their life or that of their fetus is in danger (Sunday-Adeoye and Kalu, 2011).

Previous studies in Nigeria have examined CS on maternal request and choice of mode of deliver among antenatal women, but we are not aware of any study that assessed the choice of mode of delivery amongst women with previous CS. These women have the experience of a prior caesarean delivery and their choice about their subsequent delivery may be different from that of the general population and the finding can be of importance in counselling for future deliveries.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This was a cross sectional study conducted at the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, Maiduguri between 1st January, 2013 and 30th November, 2013. The hospital is the major tertiary hospital in the northeast region of Nigeria. The available hospital data showed that 3271 deliveries were conducted in 2012 with a CS rate of 15.2%. Using the aforementioned data, the required sample size was calculated to be 196 and with an additional 20% for attrition, this was rounded up to 245. Post partum women who had been delivered via primary CS were sampled. Data were collected 5 to 7 days after the operation and before being discharged.

Self-administered, structured, pretested and validated questionnaires were instituted after explaining the research and obtaining consent. The questionnaire contained 17 items with both open and closed ended questions. The questions were also translated into the local language to ascertain that the patients truly understand. Patients were asked about their preferred mode of delivery in the next pregnancy and also choice of anaesthesia. They were asked to indicate the reason for their choice. Their view on repeat CS was also sorted and graded. This grading was an adaption from previous published work in Nigeria (Sunday-Adeoye and Kalu, 2011). The grading was as follows: very good, will accept CS by choice to avoid the complications of labour, labour pains and safety of the baby; good, will accept CS if their life or that of their baby is in great danger; bad, will reluctantly accept CS if the doctor says so; very bad, will not accept CS under any circumstance.

Other questions included: patients' sociodemographic characteristics, the type of CS, indication, type of anaesthesia and their awareness of the right to request a caesarean delivery without

a medical indication.

The social classes of the women were determined using Olusanya's classification which makes use of the educational status of the woman and her husband's occupation (Olusanya et al., 1985).

The questionnaires were distributed by trained medical interns and the lead researcher. Informed consent was obtained before recruitment after detailed explanation of the study's purpose and that refusal to participate did not affect care. The participants were assured of confidentiality and the questionnaires were anonymous. Patients were recruited consecutively until the desired sample size was reached.

The data were analysed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, version 20.0) and presented as numbers and percentages. In the statistical analysis of the data, chi-square test, Fisher's exact test and logistic regression analysis were performed where appropriate. P values less than 0.05 were accepted to be statistically significant. Logistic regression analysis was used to determine the independent factors affecting women's preference for CS in next delivery. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the institution.

RESULTS

During the study period, 245 consenting women completed the questionnaires. The mean age and parity of the respondents were 28.00 ± 5.77 years and 3.04 ± 2.45 , respectively. Most of the women, 75.9% (186), had attained at least secondary school education but only 23.3% (57) of the families were from the high socioeconomic background and 51.8% (127) of them were from low socioeconomic background.

CS was done on emergency basis for 67.3% (165) and the remaining 32.7% (80) had elective CS. Spinal anaesthesia was used in 64.9% (180) of the CS and the remaining had general anaesthesia. Majority of the women, 73.5% (180), responded that they would prefer VBAC in their next pregnancy while the others, 26.5% (65) elected to deliver by a repeat CS in the next delivery. The most common reason for choosing VBAC was that vaginal delivery: allows faster recovery, 68.8% (124) and is the natural method of child birth, 25.6% (46), plus wanting to avoid complications of surgery, 12.2% (22). Among those preferring CS, the most common reasons were: to avoid labour pains, avoid the stress of labour and for the safety of the baby in 49.5% (32), 24.6% (16) and 15.4% (10), respectively.

Table 1 shows the factors associated with preference for CS in the next pregnancy. Women aged 35 years or older, those with a tertiary education and those delivered by elective CS were more likely to prefer to be delivered by CS in their next pregnancy. On the other hand, younger, less educated and women from low and middle socioeconomic background were more likely to prefer VBAC.

However, only age ≥ 35 years and having attained a tertiary level of education maintained statistically significant relationship with preference for caesarean delivery after controlling for other variables (Table 2).

About 91% (223) of the women reported that they have

the right to decide on the mode of delivery but only about half of them, 45% (110) were aware that they could request for CS in the absence of any medical indication. Women's view on repeat CS is as shown in Table 3. Only 6.1% (15) of the women reported CS as very bad and they will not accept CS under any circumstance and 31% (76) reported it as bad and will accept it reluctantly.

DISCUSSION

This study shows that majority of the women report a preference for VBAC after a primary CS. This stand is welcomed in contemporary obstetric practice with the growing concern over rising CS rate reported in most centres. Many studies have supported the efficacy and safety of VBAC after one CS and reliable figures of success rate and complications are available for counselling women (Mozurkewich and Hutton, 2000; Olagbuji et al., 2010; Cahill et al., 2006). A similar preference for VBAC has also been reported in the UK among women with the experience of both CS and vaginal delivery (Aslam et al., 2003).

Some of the women see vaginal delivery as the natural method of child birth and even more appealing to them is the faster recovery after a vaginal delivery as compared to CS. These are the reasons given by more than 90% of the women that choose VBAC for their next delivery. It was also discovered that, VBAC is preferred by younger (<35 years) and women with less education (secondary school or less). Also most of the women of low socioeconomic status prefer VBAC which might be accounted for in part by cost consideration. Cost is an important factor in our region where majority of the hospitals operate the policy of pay-as-you-go for health care services. The preference for CS among women of high socioeconomic class has earlier been reported in Turkey (Buyukbayrak et al., 2010) and Australia (Roberts et al., 2012).

Older women and those with higher education were found to be more likely to choose CS for their next delivery after a prior CS. These women might view their pregnancies as 'precious' and are less willing to risk vaginal delivery. This may be because of concerns about baby's safety in addition to avoiding pains and stress of labour.

Good counseling can allay patient's anxiety and proper intrapartum fetal monitoring could allow detection of fetal distress and appropriate action could be instituted to save the baby. However, epidural anaesthesia is not readily available in our setting because of lack of man-power and that could make the management of tocophobia difficult. It is therefore encouraged that such women should be delivered in settings that can make epidural available for them and be encouraged to attempt VBAC.

With the advancement made in CS, more than 60% of the women studied viewed a repeat CS as at least good and are willing to accept it if their life or that of their baby

Table 1. Factors associated with preference for CS in the next delivery.

Factor	Preferred CS (%)	Preferred VBAC (%)	Total
Age (year)			
<35	44 (21.9)	157 (78.1)	201 (100)
≥35	21 (47.7)	23 (52.3)	44 (100)
	$\chi^2=12.36, P=0.000, OR=1.49, (CI=1.12-2.66)$		
Parity			
Nulliparous	10 (13.2)	66 (86.8)	76 (100)
Parous	55 (14.8)	114 (85.2)	169 (100)
	$\chi^2=0.81, P=0.451, OR=0.88, CI=0.12-2.48)$		
Living children			
Yes	63 (26.6)	174 (73.4)	237 (100)
No	2 (25.0)	6 (75.0)	8 (100)
	$\chi^2=0.10, P=0.92, OR=1.02, CI=0.68-1.53$		
Educational level			
Secondary or less	27 (18.9)	116 (81.1)	143 (100)
Tertiary	38 (37.3)	64 (62.7)	102 (100)
	$\chi^2=10.31, P=0.001, OR=2.55, CI=1.43-4.56$		
Social class			
Low	22 (17.3)	105 (82.7)	127 (100)
Middle	16 (26.2)	45 (73.8)	61 (100)
High	27 (47.4)	30 (52.6)	57 (100)
	$\chi^2=18.23, P=0.000$		
Type of CS			
Elective	33 (41.3)	47 (58.7)	80 (100)
Emergency	32 (19.4)	133 (80.4)	165 (100)
	$\chi^2=13.20, P=0.000, OR=2.92, CI=1.62-5.26$		
Type of anesthesia			
General	17 (19.8)	69 (80.2)	86 (100)
Spinal	48 (30.2)	11 (69.8)	159 (100)

Table 2. Multinomial logistic regression analysis for factors associated with preference for CS in the next delivery.

Factor	Odd ratio	95% Confidence interval	P value
Age (Years)			
≥35	2.46	1.98-4.34	0.0001
<35	-	-	-
Parity			
Multiparity	1.32	0.74-4.73	0.09
Primiparity	-	-	-
Living children			
Yes	3.15	0.56-18.90	0.19
No	-	-	-

Table 2. Cont'd.

Educational level			
Tertiary	2.33	1.22-4.37	0.01
Secondary or less	-	-	-
Type of CS			
Elective	1.52	0.76-3.04	0.24
Emergency	-	-	-
Type of anaesthesia			
General	1.16	0.29-1.23	0.64
Spinal	-	-	-

Table 3. Women's view on repeat CS.

S/N	View of the women	Frequency	Percentage
1	Very good	50	20.4
2	Good	104	42.4
3	Bad	76	31.0
4	Very bad	15	6.1
	Total	245	100

is in danger. However, 6.1% are aversive to repeat CS and will not accept it under any circumstance. Our findings could mean that aversion to CS may be lower than previously thought in our environment particularly among the women with previous CS. This calls for more counseling of our antenatal women and community education in order to make women accept CS when necessary.

One of the limitations of the study was that the patients' prior infertility and treatments were not taken into account. Most patients that conceive following infertility treatment may be more disposed towards CS delivery. Secondly, the patients were recruited 5 to 7 days after surgery and their choice of mode of delivery may differ after complete recovery. A larger multi-centre study on antenatal patients may be required to further buttress our findings.

Conclusion

Most women will prefer VBAC after a primary CS and this is more likely among younger, less educated and women of middle and low socioeconomic status, while CS is preferred by the older and highly educated women. We advocate for counselling of antenatal women as well as public education campaigns so that women can make informed choices.

Conflict of Interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (2010). ACOG Practice bulletin no. 115: Vaginal birth after previous caesarean delivery. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 116:450.
- Ayers S, Eagle A, Waring H (2006). The effects of childbirth-related post-traumatic stress disorder on women and their relationships: a qualitative study. *Psychol. Health. Med.* 11:389–98.
- Aslam MF, Gilmour K, Fawdry RDS (2003). Who wants a caesarean section? A study of women's personal experience of vaginal and caesarean delivery. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 23(4):364–366.
- Aziken M, Omo-Aghoja L, Okonofua F (2007). Perceptions and attitudes of pregnant women towards caesarean section in urban Nigeria. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 86(1):42–47.
- Buyukbayrak EE, Kaymaz O, Kars B, Karsidag AYK, Bektas E, Unal O, Turan C (2010). Caesarean delivery or vaginal birth: Preference of Turkish pregnant women and influencing factors. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 30(2):155–158.
- Cahill AG, Stamilio DM, Odibo AO, Peipert JF, Ratcliffe SJ, Stevens EJ (2006). Is vaginal birth after caesarean (VBAC) or elective repeat caesarean safer in women with a prior vaginal delivery? *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 195:1143–7.
- Chigbu CO, Enwereji JO, Ikeme AC (2007a). Women's experiences following failed vaginal birth after cesarean delivery. *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* 99:113–116.
- Chigbu CO, Ezenyeaku CC (2008). Women's opinions and experiences with induction of labor and caesarean delivery on request in south eastern Nigeria. *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* 103:158–161.
- Chigbu CO, Ezeome IV, Iloabachie GC (2007b). Caesarean section on request in a developing country. *Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet.* 96(1):54–6.
- Chong ES, Mongelli M (2003). Attitudes of Singapore women toward caesarean and vaginal deliveries. *Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet.* 80:189–194.
- Cunningham FG, Wells CE (2013). Vaginal birth after caesarean delivery (VBAC) Beyond the Basics. In up to date 2013. Available at <http://www.uptodate.com/contents/vaginal-birth-after-caesarean-delivery-vbac-beyond-the-basics>. (Accessed 28/09/13).
- Fenwick J, Gamble J, Mawson J (2003). Women's experience of cesarean section and vaginal birth after cesarean: a Birthrites initiative. *Int. J. Nurs. Pract.* 9:10–7.
- Geidam AD, Audu BM, Kawuwa BM, Obed JY (2009). Rising trend and indications of caesarean section at the university of Maiduguri teaching hospital, Nigeria. *Ann. Afr. Med.* 8(2):127–132.
- Modarres M, Afrasiabi S, Rahnema P, Montazeri A (2012). Prevalence

- and risk factors of childbirth-related post-traumatic stress symptoms. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 12:88.
- Mozurkewich EL, Hutton EK (2000). Elective repeat cesarean delivery versus trial of labor: a meta-analysis of the literature from 1989 to 1999. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 183:1187. (ISSN: 0002-9378)
- National Institutes of Health (2010). National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference Statement vaginal birth after cesarean: new insights. *Semin. Perinatol.* 34:351.
- Oboro V, Adewunmi A, Ande A, Olagbuji B, Ezeanochie M, Oyeniran A (2010). Morbidity associated with failed vaginal birth after cesarean section. *Acta. Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 89(9):1229-32.
- Olagbuji B, Ezeanochie M, Okonofua F (2010). Predictors of successful vaginal delivery after previous cesarean section in a Nigerian tertiary hospital. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 30(6): 582–585.
- Omole-Ohonsi A (2011). Vaginal birth after a previous cesarean section functional vs. static marker. *Ibom Med. J.* 4 (1):8-10.
- Omole-Ohonsi A, Muhammad Z, Iliyasu Z (2007). Value of partogram in vaginal birth after cesarean section. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 27(3):264-266.
- Okonkwo NS, Ojengbede OA, Morhason-Bello IO, Adedokun BO (2012). Maternal demand for cesarean section: perception and willingness to request by Nigerian antenatal clients *Int. J. Women's Health* 4:141–148.
- Olusanya BO, Solanke OA (2009). Adverse neonatal outcomes associated with trial of labor after previous cesarean delivery in an inner-city hospital in Lagos, Nigeria. *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* 107: 135–139.
- Olusanya O, Okpere E, Ezimokhai M (1985). The importance of social class in voluntary fertility control in developing countries. *W. Afr. J. Med.* 4:205-207.
- Ozumba BC, Anya SE (2002). Maternal death associated with Caesarean section in Enugu, Nigeria. *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* 76:307-9.
- Pakenham S, Chamberlain SM, Smith GN (2006). Women's views on elective primary caesarean section. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Can.* 28:1089–1094.
- Roberts CL, Algert CS, Ford JB, Todd AL, Morris JM (2012). Pathways to a rising caesarean section rate: a population -based cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2:e001725.
- Rouhe H, Salmela-Aro K, Halmesmaki E, Saisto T (2009). Fear of childbirth according to parity, gestational age, and obstetric history. *BJOG* 116:67–73.
- Sunday-Adeoye I, Kalu CA (2011). Pregnant Nigerian women's view of caesarean section. *Niger. J. Clin. Pract.* 14:276-9.

Case Report

Rhabdomyosarcoma followed six episodes of squamous cell carcinoma in a Nigerian albino: A case report

Wilson I. B. Onuigbo^{1*}, James C. Nwozo² and Richard E. Nnabuko²

¹Department of Pathology, National Orthopaedic Hospital, Enugu, Enugu State, Nigeria.

²Plastic Surgery, National Orthopaedic Hospital, Enugu, Enugu State, Nigeria.

Received 13 December, 2013; Accepted 8 October, 2014

Beginning from 1975, a Nigerian albino aged 27 years developed squamous cell carcinoma that recurred a total of seven times, the last being a rhabdomyosarcoma in 2005 at the age of 61 years. In this context, we are aware of published cases of carcinoma but not of sarcoma. With special reference to albinism, squamous cell carcinomas superabound. If at all, resections are scarcely carried out more than once.

Key words: Rhabdomyosarcoma, squamous cell carcinoma, albino, Nigeria.

INTRODUCTION

Albinos, who are distinguished by their lack of skin pigmentation stand out among the dark-skinned individuals. They also differ on account of cancer caused by exposure to sunlight. Health education is therefore geared towards cancer prevention. This is receiving the opposite major attention (Hong et al., 2006; Opara and Jiburum, 2010; Lund and Graigher, 2002).

However, another point of interest is the histological differentiation of the resultant growths themselves. Hitherto, squamous cell carcinoma had been mostly in focus. Thus, a histological review of 86 albino biopsy specimens in Tanzania showed squamous cell carcinoma to be more common than basal cell carcinoma (Kiprono et al., 2014).

Surgical treatment is in vogue. It may require to be carried out more than once. Asuquo et al. (2009) reported

tumors being excised with a recurrence in a man who also had adjuvant therapy with poor response. In a Malawian case, the "treatment dilemma" was owing to "multiple surgical excisions for squamous cell carcinomatous lesions" (Mapurisa and Masamba, 2010). Therefore, this study present a patient in whom six excisions of this particular carcinoma was followed by a sarcoma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This series consists of cases of albinos whose specimens were studied in a Regional Orthopaedic and Plastic Surgery Center between 1974 and 2014. Only one individual met the rare qualification of having had multiple biopsies of the rather common squamous cell carcinoma while the seventh surgery was for sarcoma

*Corresponding author. E-mail: wilson.onuigbo@gmail.com. Tel: +234-8037208680.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)




Figure 1. The lesion showed mitotically active, strap-like pleomorphic cells indicative of sarcomatous change of the rhabdomyosarcoma type.

A=> points to odd mitotic figure; **B=>** points to plump pleomorphic variably elongated cells.

CASE PRESENTATION

An albino was presented to the Regional Orthopaedic and Plastic Surgery Center in October, 1975, at the age of 27 years. His face, chest and neck were involved. All histopathologic sections were diagnosed by Wilson I. B. Onuigbo as squamous cell carcinoma. Over the years, the other presentations of this particular carcinoma were as follows: November, 1976 (lower lip); May, 1981 (forehead and neck); April, 1983 (neck); March, 1995 (cheek); and October, 1995 (periauricular region).

The last appearance was in March, 2010, when he presented to the other surgeon (Richard E. Nnabuko) at 61 years of age. This time, the forearm was the part involved. This lesion was biopsied.

GROSS AND MICROSCOPIC FINDINGS

At the histopathology laboratory, the tissue which was preserved in 10% formolsaline consisted of a 3 × 2 cm albino skin ellipse with a shallow ulcer centrally. Histology showed, deep to the ordinarily inflamed ulcer, a very pleomorphic growth with numerous tumor giant cells as well as occasional cross striations (Figure 1). The resection margin was free of tumor cells. A diagnosis of rhabdomyosarcoma was made.

DISCUSSION

The albino is known to suffer frequently from sun-induced squamous cell carcinoma (MacSween and Whaley, 1992). a rare case in which squamous cell carcinoma occurred in one facial focus with both basal cell carcinoma and adenocystic carcinoma have been published previously (Onuigbo and Nnabuko, 2009). Here, a peculiar case with multiple carcinomas which began with recurrences and then ended as a sarcoma have been reported. We are not aware of such an outcome being reported in the literature.

Interest in the development of skin cancer in albinos in Nigeria was kindled by a dermatologist who undertook the survey of the whole country (Okoro, 1975). Recent reports have appeared from this country, namely, Yakubu and Mabogunje (1993), Opara and Jiburum (2010), and Asuquo et al. (2010).

There is a common problem in cancer cases in developing countries. Patients are often lost to follow-up, e.g., the Tanzanian report by Mabula et al. (2012) and Nigerian by Opara and Jiburum (2010). Hence, our patient exhibited perseverance of a notable order. The present paper has added a rarity, namely, rhabdomyosarcoma of the pleomorphic type. Incidentally, the rarity of rhabdomyosarcoma itself is shown by the case published recently (Manasseh et al., 2009). Our case

featured a sarcoma that has followed a series of carcinomas.

Therefore, what of the concurred carcinoma and sarcoma? Aptly, named as carcinosarcoma, the combinations are worthy of citations. Actually, internet search revealed other examples, namely, congenital case (Ahmed et al., 1999), uterine corpus (Garrett et al., 2012), sinonasal involvement (Erkul et al., 2012), childhood (Roma et al., 2012), and cell death pathways as therapeutic targets (Fulda, 2012).

Conclusion

Sunlight is accepted as the preventable cause of cancer in albinos. Usually, concerning the squamous cell carcinoma type, a rare case has been presented in which 6 recurrences of this type from age 27 to 61 years culminated in the *de novo* development of rhabdomyosarcoma. This characteristic presentation is noteworthy since it followed on healed carcinomas rather than concurred with any of them. This case illustrates the use of Macartney et al. (1980) article concerning the employment of histopathology data pool in epidemiological analysis in the UK. Conclusively, the Nigerian case is worthy of publication in an international journal.

Conflict of Interests

The author(s) have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed OA, Hussain A, King DJ, Holmes JD (1999). Congenital rhabdomyosarcoma. *Br. J. PlastSurg.* 52:304-307.
- Asuquo ME, Ngim O, Ebughe G, Bassey EE (2009). Skin cancers amongst four Nigerian albinos. *Int. J. Dermatol.* 48:636-638.
- Asuquo ME, Otei OO, Omotoso J, Bassey EE (2010). Skin cancer in albinos at the University of Calabar Teaching Hospital, Calabar. *Dermatol. Online J.* 16:14.
- Erkul E, Pinar D, Yilmaz I, Hakan C, Engin C, Murat S (2012). Rare adult sinonasalembryonalrhabdomyosarcoma with optic involvement. *Otolaryngology* 2:3.
- Fulda S (2012). Cell death pathways as therapeutic targets in rhabdomyosarcoma. *Sarcoma Article ID 326210*:5.
- Garrett LA, Harmon DC, Schorge JO (2012). Embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma of the uterine corpus. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 31:e41-e50.
- MacSween RNM, Whaley K (1992). *Muir's Textbook of Pathology*, 3th Ed. London: Arnold. P 271.
- Manasseh AN, Echejoh GO, Silas OA, Tanko MN, Jegede SK, Mandong BM (2009). Testicular embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma: A case report. *Int. J. Med. Med. Sci.* 1(11):470-472.
- Okoro AN (1975). Albinism in Nigeria. A clinical and social study. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 92:485-492.
- Onuigbo WIB, Nnabuko RE (2009). Three different carcinomas clustered in one facial focus in albino. *Niger. J. Surg. Sci.* 19:38-40.
- Roma J, Almazan-Moga A, de Toledo JS, Gallego S (2012). Notch, Wnt, and Hedgehog pathways in rhabdomyosarcoma: from single pathways to an integrated network. *Sarcoma Article ID 695603*:7.
- Yakubu A, Mabogunje OA (1993). Skin cancer in African albinos. *Acta Oncol.* 32:621-622.



International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- *Journal of Medicinal Plant Research*
- *African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*
- *Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene*
- *International Journal of Nursing and Midwifery*
- *Journal of Parasitology and Vector Biology*
- *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy*
- *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences*

academicJournals